SUPPLEMENTAL SUBJECTS.

(*Nos. 315—335.*)

SUBJECT No. 315.

A regulation to prevent beggary.

Messrs. Karnick Krishnamurthi Rao, Magadi Taluk and C. Anantha Jois, Kadur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Beggars give a good deal of trouble to people resorting to fairs and shandies. Often they take away by force what they want. The police do not help the people. The practice of living by beggary may be put down and beggars compelled to take up a profession to earn their bread.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 316.

Amendment of Section XXI, Rule 57 of the Civil Procedure Code.

Mr. Surasastri, Chikballapur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Under the present practice the judgment creditor is placed at a disadvantage. It is with difficulty that an attachment is effected and if it is raised every time an execution petition is struck off, the judgment debtor will have opportunities to dispose of his property.

Reply of Government.—This will be referred to the Rule Committee.

SUBJECT No. 317.

Refund of half the Court fee paid on the memoranda of appeals.

Messrs. B. Gundu Rao, Devanhalli Taluk and M. Basavaiya, Lingayet Education Fund Association, Bangalore.

Summary of discussion.—When appeals are dismissed without notice to the opposite party half the court fee paid on the memoranda of appeals may be refunded.

Mr. Basavaiya said that refund should be allowed if an appeal was compromised without contest.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 318.

Posting a duffedar for each sub-station.

Mr. B. Kesar Singh, Tumkur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—A duffedar may be posted for each sub-station with the usual powers and modifications of investigating centre scheme.

Reply of Government.—Noted for consideration.

SUBJECT No. 319.

Mounted Police for the Cities of Bangalore, Mysore and border District Headquarter towns.

Mr. H. Krishna Sastri, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The system of mounted police for the cities of Bangalore, Mysore and border District Headquarter towns may be introduced.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 320.

Remuneration to *totis* and *talaris*.

Messrs. B. Kesar Singh, Tumkur Municipality, Sura Sastri, Chikballapur Taluk and Gundu Rao, Devanhalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The recent Government Order about the remuneration of *totis* and *talaris* has not redressed the long standing grievances of the people. The *totis* and *talaris* are engaged for doing exclusively Government work. Their remuneration may therefore

be entirely borne by Government and the raiyats exempted from payment of the same.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 321.

Abolition of the *supari* cess.

Messrs. H. Krishna Rao, Koppa Taluk and H. Krishna Sastri, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—*Supari* cess that is now being collected for maintaining the *koleroga* department may be abolished as its experimental stage is over.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao said that a wholesale levy of the supari cess from supari garden owners was not justifiable on the ground that there were other similar diseases prevalent in the State and under investigation in the departments concerned, for which no special levy was ordered, and more so with regard to maidan supari garden owners where the *koleroga* was not prevalent.

Mr. Krishna Rao represented that the *koleroga* ought to be investigated in the same way as all other pests and that it was not fair that there should be a separate levy from supari garden owners only.

Other members also spoke to the effect that the separate levy of supari cess ought to be discontinued and that the levy of one anna per rupee of kandayam was also not equitable as some portion of the lands which remained banjar had also to bear a share of the burden.

Reply of Government.—The owners of supari gardens who were formerly paying about 5½ lakhs are now paying only about Rs. 75,000 which they should not grudge and it is not possible to abolish the cess now.

SUBJECT No. 322.

(*a*) Assessment on new lands under the Cauvery Reservoir.

(*b*) Compensation for lands acquired under the Reservoir.

Messrs. Lingegowda, Varadhamanaiya, Mysore Taluk, Chennappa, Yedatore Taluk and C. Narasimhaiya, Heggaddevankote Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) New lands under the Cauvery Reservoir, may, in the first instance, be taxed at dry rates for a period of three years instead of for one year as at present.

(*b*) The present procedure in the matter of paying compensation for lands acquired as well as for the loss of the crop may be equitably changed.

Reply of Government.—(*a*) Wet rates will be levied only from the year in which wet crop is first raised.

(*b*) A written representation as to details may be sent to the Deputy Commissioner by the members.

SUBJECT No. 323.

Repairs to minor tanks.

Mr. Pattanarasaiya, Bangalore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The old system of raiyats contributing for earth work and the Government doing stone work and the rest in the matter of the repairs for minor tanks may be revived as the system now introduced of raiyats paying one-third of the cost irrespective of the nature of the work is a hardship to the raiyats.

Reply of Government.—The question cannot be reopened.